## Universal Semi-Supervised Learning for Medical Image Classification

Lie Ju et al.

Monash University et al.

Table 1. The characteristics of evaluated skin datasets. **D** denotes "Dermoscopic" images and **C** denotes "Clinical" images. \* The definition of classes in Dermnet is different from the other three datasets, so the statistics of classes are not included.

Dermatology									
	Labeled	Unlabeled							
Name	Name ISIC 2019		Derm7pt	PAD-UFES-20	0 Dermnet				
Domain	D	D	D + C	С	С				
No. of Images	2000	5803 + 1987	2013	3114	15557				
No. of Classes	4	4 + 4	3 + 7	3 + 3	NA*				

Table 2. The characteristics of evaluated fundus datasets.

Ophthalmology								
	Labeled	Unlabeled						
Modality	Regular	Regular	In-hand	UWF				
Target	DR	DR + AMD	DR + AMD	DR + AMD				
No. of Images	5000	16445 + 6421	9823	5872				
No. of Classes	5	5 + 4	5 + 4	5 + 4				

**Table 3.** Hyper-parameter settings of the compared SSL methods. Hyper-parametersnot specified are maintained consistent with their original implementations.

	ΡI	MT	VAT	PL	MM	FM	UASD	DS3L	MTCF	T2T	OM	CAFA
Initial LR		$3 \times 10^{-4}$										
Optimizer	SGD											
Coefficient	20	8	0.3	0.3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
EMA decay	-	0.95	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Threshold	-	-	-	0.95	-	0.95	-	-	-	-	0.95	-



**Fig. 1.** We take the diabetic retinopathy (DR) grading with 5 sub-classes (normal, NPDRI, NPDRII, NPDRIII, and PDR) as known classes and age-related macular degeneration (AMD) with 4 sub-classes (small drusen, big drusen, dry AMD, and wet AMD) as unknown classes.



Fig. 2. Collected fundus images from regular fundus cameras, handheld fundus cameras, and ultra-widefield (UWF) fundus imaging, which cover the field of view of  $60^{\circ}$ ,  $45^{\circ}$ , and 200 °respectively.