

Supplemental Information for Learning a Clinically-Relevant Concept Bottleneck for Lesion Detection in Breast Ultrasound

**Table 1.** Additional characteristics of the study sample. \*All image-level counts reflect the number of images collected, not the resulting number of images after dual-view images were split. BUS = breast ultrasound. Dx = diagnosis. BI-RADS feature lesion counts are reported as dichotomized for model training and evaluation, not as defined by the ACR [1].

<b>Dataset Characteristic, Unit</b>	<b>Train</b>	<b>Validation</b>	<b>Test</b>
<b>Women, N</b>	693	101	200
Mean age at BUS, years (SD)	63.6 (12.8)	62.9 (11.7)	63.3 (13.9)
Mean age at Dx, years (SD)	63.7 (12.5)	62.9 (12.2)	63.0 (13.8)
<b>Images, N*</b>	6,260	910	1,684
Images with benign findings, N (%)	4,587 (73.3)	661 (72.6)	1,307 (77.6)
Images with malig. findings, N (%)	1,673 (26.7)	249 (27.4)	377 (22.4)
Images on PHILIPS system, N (%)	2,881 (46.0)	489 (53.7)	1,113 (66.1)
Images on SIEMENS system, N (%)	2,949 (47.1)	381 (41.9)	539 (32.0)
Images on ATL system, N (%)	430 (6.9)	40 (4.4)	32 (1.9)
BI-RADS 1/2/3 images, N (%)	3,914 (62.5)	566 (62.2)	1,159 (68.8)
BI-RADS 4 images, N (%)	1,410 (22.5)	235 (25.8)	357 (21.2)
BI-RADS 5/6 images, N (%)	638 (10.2)	92 (10.1)	137 (8.1)
BI-RADS 0/Unk. images, N (%)	298 (4.8)	17 (1.9)	31 (1.8)
<b>Lesion Views, N</b>	4,203	573	872
Oval lesions, N (%)	2,801 (66.6)	368 (64.2)	573 (65.7)
Irregular/round lesions, N (%)	1,402 (33.4)	205 (35.8)	299 (34.3)
Parallel lesions, N (%)	3,560 (84.7)	449 (78.4)	752 (86.2)
Not parallel lesions, N (%)	643 (15.3)	124 (21.6)	120 (13.8)
Circumscribed lesions, N (%)	2,937 (69.9)	401 (70.0)	598 (68.6)
Not circumscribed lesions, N (%)	1,266 (30.1)	172 (30.0)	274 (31.4)
Anechoic lesions, N (%)	1,259 (30.0)	168 (29.3)	339 (38.9)
Not anechoic lesions, N (%)	2,944 (70.0)	405 (70.7)	533 (61.1)
Lesions w/o posterior feats., N (%)	2,988 (71.1)	383 (66.8)	560 (64.2)
Lesions w/posterior feats., N (%)	1,215 (28.9)	190 (33.2)	312 (35.8)

**Table 2.** Hyperparameter search space and chosen hyperparameter values for all model training stages and variations. For each stage, the hyperparameters were tuned over 25 trials using Optuna’s TPESampler [2]. Frozen stage = stage at which the ResNet-101 FPN is frozen during training. FC = fully-connected. In Stage 2, the number of filters corresponds to the number in each convolutional layer in a residual block.

Training Stage	Hyperparameter	Search Space	Value
<b>Concept Bottleneck Model</b> Stage 1: Lesion Detection	frozen stage	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	4
	# box head conv. layers	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	3
	# box head FC layers	{1, 2, 3}	4
	# mask head conv. layers	{1, 2, 3, 4, 5}	5
	momentum	Uniform [0.1, 0.9]	0.9
Stage 2: Concept Classification	# filters in 1 <sup>st</sup> layer	{512, 256, 128, 64}	512
	# filters in 2 <sup>nd</sup> layer	{256, 128, 64, 32}	64
	base learning rate	LogUniform [1e-7, 1e-1]	0.093
	momentum	Uniform [0.1, 0.9]	0.8
Stage 3a: Cancer Classification (w/o side channel)	FC layer width	{2048, 1024, 512, 256, 128, 64}	512
	base learning rate	LogUniform [1e-7, 1e-1]	$4 \times 10^{-4}$
	intermediate sigmoid	{True, False}	False
	momentum	Uniform [0.1, 0.9]	0.5
Stage 3b: (w/ side channel)	base learning rate	LogUniform [1e-7, 1e-1]	$6 \times 10^{-6}$
	momentum	Uniform [0.1, 0.9]	0.8
<b>Baseline Model</b>	base learning rate	LogUniform [1e-7, 1e-1]	$7 \times 10^{-2}$
	momentum	Uniform [0.1, 0.9]	0.1

## References

1. CJ, D.O., et al., ACR BI-RADS ® Atlas, Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System. 2013, Reston, VA: American College of Radiology.
2. Akiba, T., et al. Optuna: A Next-generation Hyperparameter Optimization Framework. INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON KNOWLEDGE DISCOVERY AND DATA MINING. ACM.