## A Clinical-oriented Lightweight Network for High-resolution Medical Image Enhancement (Supplemental Material)

Yaqi Wang<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Leqi Chen<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Qingshan Hou<sup>1,2,†</sup>, Peng Cao<sup>1,2,3,( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>, Jinzhu Yang<sup>1,2,3,( $\boxtimes$ )</sup>, Xiaoli Liu<sup>1</sup>, and Osmar R. Zaiane<sup>4</sup>

 $^1\,$  Computer Science and Engineering, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China $^2\,$  Key Laboratory of Intelligent Computing in Medical Image of Ministry of

Education, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China

<sup>3</sup> National Frontiers Science Center for Industrial Intelligence and Systems

Optimization, Shenyang 110819, China

caopeng@mail.neu.edu.cn

yangjinzhu@cse.neu.edu.cn

<sup>4</sup> Alberta Machine Intelligence Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada

**Table 1.** The ablation study of the enhancement loss  $\mathcal{L}_{En} = \mathcal{L}_1 + \mathcal{L}_{SSIM} + \mathcal{L}_{HF}$  on the Real Fundus dataset. The  $\mathcal{L}_1$  loss serves as the fundamental pixel-level loss, the  $\mathcal{L}_{SSIM}$  loss captures the human visual perception of image quality, and the  $\mathcal{L}_{HF}$  loss extracts high-frequency information. It can be observed that all three losses contribute to the enhancement results.

| $\mathcal{L}_1$ | $\mathcal{L}_{SSIM}$ | $\mathcal{L}_{HF}$ | PSNR                                    | SSIM         |
|-----------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|--------------|
| $\checkmark$    |                      |                    | 25.94                                   | 0.870        |
| $\checkmark$    | $\checkmark$         |                    | 26.30                                   | 0.877        |
| $\checkmark$    |                      | $\checkmark$       | 29.74                                   | 0.934        |
| $\checkmark$    | $\checkmark$         | $\checkmark$       | 25.94<br>26.30<br>29.74<br><b>30.41</b> | <b>0.942</b> |

**Table 2.** The ablation study of the semantic guidance loss type on the Real Fundus dataset. The results indicate that employing knowledge distillation with KL divergence loss leads to improved feature alignment and superior performance.

|   | PSNR SSIM       |
|---|-----------------|
| $\mathcal{L}_1$ Loss  | 29.37 0.933     |
| CMD Loss  | 29.10  0.927    |
| $\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L}_1 \text{ Loss} \\ \text{CMD Loss} \\ \text{KL divergence loss} \end{array}$ | $30.41 \ 0.942$ |

† Yaqi Wang, Leqi Chen and Qingshan Hou contribute equally to this work.

2 Y. Wang et al.

 Table 3. Implementation Details.

| Parameter                     | Value                  |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Optimizer                     | Adam                   |
| GPU                           | NVIDIA Quadro RTX 6000 |
| Learning Rate                 | 1e-3                   |
| Learning Rate Update Schedule | Cosine Annealing       |
| Batch Size                    | 32                     |
| Total Epochs                  | 2500                   |
| High-resolution Image Size    | $1280 \times 1280$     |
| Low-resolution Image Size     | $256 \times 256$       |