Label merge-and-split: A graph-colouring approach for memory-efficient brain parcellation

Supplementary Material



Figure A: Number of effective labels resulting from the graph coloring algorithm depending on the minimum distance and average volume ratio thresholds for the AOMIC dataset. The chosen values are highlighted with a black box.



Figure B: Earlier results without volume ratio threshold showed reduced segmentation accuracy of small structures due to large class imbalance within merged label groups. Regions where the merged model performed worse/better are yellow/blue. Small structures (chorid plexus: black arrows; entorhinal cortex: white arrows) that were merged with much larger structures were segmented less accurately. The average volume ratio threshold was introduced to mitigate class imbalance within merged label groups.

Table A: Additional metrics for all experiments: relative volume error (RVE) and Hausdorff
distance to complement the Dice Similarity Coefficient of Table 1 of the main paper. Bold
numbers indicate the better value when comparing merged and original model.

	RVE [%]			Hausdorff [mm]		
	AOMIC	IXI	Mindboggle42	AOMIC	IXI	Mindboggle42
AOMIC _{orig}	5.7 (7.9)	10.1 (10.3)	16.0(15.1)	7.89 (6.07)	8.46 (6.31)	9.19 (6.92)
AOMIC _{merged}	5.8(7.9)	10.6(11.2)	16.4(15.6)	7.99(6.22)	8.78(6.76)	9.32(7.74)
IXIorig	8.2(8.9)	5.4(7.5)	16.5(15.7)	7.94 (5.85)	7.92 (5.77)	8.92 (6.84)
IXI_{merged}	7.4 (8.5)	5.2(7.1)	16.0(15.4)	8.07(6.25)	8.1 (5.97)	8.94 (7.02)

abel merge-and-split: A graph-colouring approach for memory-efficient brain parcellation

