

Supplementary Materials for Paper Titled "MetaAD: Metabolism-Aware Anomaly Detection for Parkinson's Disease in 3D ^{18}F -FDG PET"

Haolin Huang^{1†}, Zhenrong Shen^{2†}, Jing Wang^{3†}, Xinyu Wang¹, Jiaying Lu³,
Huamei Lin³, Jingjie Ge³, Chuantao Zuo^{3(✉)}, and Qian Wang^{1(✉)}

¹ School of Biomedical Engineering & State Key Laboratory of Advanced Medical Materials and Devices, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China
qianwang@shanghaitech.edu.cn

² School of Biomedical Engineering, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, China

³ Department of Nuclear Medicine/PET Center, Huashan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai, China zuochuantao@fudan.edu.cn

⁴ Shanghai Clinical Research and Trial Center, Shanghai, China

Table 1. Quantitative comparison between the proposed MetaAD and other UAD methods based on different anomaly scores. The best results for each anomaly score are shown in bold fonts while the best results across all anomaly scores are underlined.

Score	Method	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	F1-Score	AUC
AMC	AE [1]	33.87 \pm 5.73	2.17 \pm 2.15	<u>100.00\pm0.00</u>	4.04 \pm 4.02	38.48 \pm 7.59
	U-Net [2]	46.98 \pm 6.02	21.54 \pm 6.11	<u>100.00\pm0.00</u>	35.47 \pm 8.30	56.67 \pm 7.24
	CycleGAN [3]	57.28 \pm 5.96	50.07 \pm 7.37	72.25 \pm 9.77	60.93 \pm 6.76	61.14 \pm 7.22
	MetaAD (Ours)	68.74\pm5.64	76.09\pm6.20	54.23 \pm 10.74	76.57\pm4.62	65.78\pm7.26
HMA	AE [1]	57.74 \pm 6.22	60.82 \pm 7.17	50.28 \pm 11.03	65.39 \pm 5.89	51.42 \pm 7.53
	U-Net [2]	48.43 \pm 5.93	34.97 \pm 7.19	77.13\pm9.12	47.24 \pm 7.46	50.36 \pm 7.38
	CycleGAN [3]	66.35 \pm 5.91	62.95 \pm 7.23	72.73 \pm 9.74	71.30 \pm 5.68	66.84 \pm 7.47
	MetaAD (Ours)	68.99\pm5.62	69.52\pm7.08	68.05 \pm 10.14	75.40\pm4.99	70.00\pm7.26
LMA	AE [1]	79.22 \pm 4.77	86.89 \pm 5.04	63.65 \pm 10.25	84.77 \pm 3.91	77.05 \pm 6.80
	U-Net [2]	73.17 \pm 5.40	78.20 \pm 6.22	63.45 \pm 10.68	79.66 \pm 4.64	74.43 \pm 6.51
	CycleGAN [3]	73.66 \pm 5.58	71.69 \pm 6.61	77.39\pm8.97	78.32 \pm 4.89	79.65 \pm 5.75
	MetaAD (Ours)	83.82\pm4.48	91.44\pm4.13	67.92 \pm 10.09	88.17\pm3.59	83.97\pm5.54
AMR	AE [1]	67.85 \pm 5.64	60.79 \pm 7.04	81.91 \pm 7.97	71.50 \pm 5.98	70.01 \pm 7.49
	U-Net [2]	58.71 \pm 5.85	50.05 \pm 7.41	77.55 \pm 8.89	61.89 \pm 6.71	65.71 \pm 7.26
	CycleGAN [3]	74.75\pm5.35	76.11\pm6.12	72.69 \pm 9.40	80.22\pm4.76	73.41 \pm 7.34
	MetaAD (Ours)	73.51 \pm 5.36	69.45 \pm 6.61	82.01\pm8.24	77.96 \pm 5.00	79.82\pm5.66

References

- Baur, C., Denner, S., Wiestler, B., Navab, N., Albarqouni, S.: Autoencoders for unsupervised anomaly segmentation in brain mr images: a comparative study. Medical Image Analysis **69**, 101952 (2021)

2. Ronneberger, O., Fischer, P., Brox, T.: U-net: Convolutional networks for biomedical image segmentation. In: Medical Image Computing and Computer-Assisted Intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th International Conference, Munich, Germany, October 5-9, 2015, Proceedings, Part III 18. pp. 234–241. Springer (2015)
3. Zhu, J.Y., Park, T., Isola, P., Efros, A.A.: Unpaired image-to-image translation using cycle-consistent adversarial networks. In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on computer vision. pp. 2223–2232 (2017)