3DGPS: A 3D Differentiable-Gaussian-based Planning Strategy for Liver Tumor Cryoablation

Supplementary material

Table 1: The rating level of RE decided by clinical surgeons.

Score	Physician rating rationale					
5	Ideal or near-ideal pathway.					
4	Good, but not a quite ideal pathway.					
3	Potential pathway if necessary,					
	but better pathways are available for safety or technical reasons.					
2	Dangerous or technically challenging pathway.					
1	Extremely dangerous (ie, potentially fatal) or technically extremely challenging pathway.					

Table 2: The RE score and corresponding scoring reason.

LiTS	Plan	NP	ATR↓ (%)	RE↑ (1-5)	Analysis
	A	4	76.40	2	Relatively higher AE; one
					ablation zone is larger; posing technical challenges.
P7	В	3	58.03	$\underline{4}$	Long ablation pathway.
	С	4	61.52	5	Ideal pathway.
P9	A	3	<u>69.44</u>	$\underline{4}$	Relatively higher AE.
	В	1	72.72	$\underline{4}$	Relatively higher AE.
	С	4	58.83	5	Near-ideal pathway.
P17	A	4	<u>55.61</u>	<u>4</u> 5	Relatively higher number of cryoprobes.
	В	1	54.93	5	Ideal pathway.
	С	1	54.93	5	Ideal pathway.
P19	A	4	91.16	4	Relatively higher AE.
	В	2	<u>89.53</u>	4	Relatively higher AE.
	С	3	83.28	4	Relatively higher number of cryoprobes.
P69	A	4	<u>57.02</u>	<u>1</u>	Traverses a rib.
	В	3	82.85	4	Relatively higher AE.
	С	4	54.07	4	Relatively higher number of cryoprobes.
IH	Plan	NP	ATR↓ (%)	RE↑ (1-5)	Analysis
P1	A	4	<u>62.17</u>	1	Traverses a rib
	В	3	63.09	3	Ablation zone is too close to the ribs
	С	4	56.69	4	Relatively higher number of cryoprobes